

| | |
|---|------------------------|
| <p><u>Staff Outreach Tracking Matrix</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Few recent meetings to report. • Many events scheduled in early 2009. | Update in January 2009 |
|---|------------------------|

| 3. Ongoing City and Regional Committees Presentation and Discussion – Bronwyn, All | Follow-up |
|--|------------------|
| <p><u>IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What kind of influence or authority would the group have? • Few committees are fully empowered. Most fall between Involve and Collaborate <p><u>#1 Portland Planning Commission</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • While very knowledgeable and respected, not fully empowered. • City Council has authority. <p><u>#2 Portland Citizen Involvement Advisory Committee</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Working to set standards. • Have had varying levels of success • Good group with clearly defined goals • Comprised of a variety of business members and citizens. • Is success tied politically to current mayor? • City agencies and the incoming mayor seem to realize the value of this committee • May want to track the success of this group over time, especially effect of change in administration <p><u>#3 Multnomah/ Portland Progress Board</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Why haven't they met in a year? • Elected officials brought interest and recognition. Once they left office, interest was lost? • Had specific goals within broader benchmarks, but have had difficulty monitoring success. • Other groups may be tracking same things such as vehicle miles traveled carbon emissions over time. • May not have been enough resources. • Is organization empowered to drive towards goals, or are they just monitoring reports without any authority for change (such as CNAC) • May not have legislative power, but other power, such as an uprising to cause action (CNAC) • Some appointed boards (i.e. Trimet) are empowered to make decisions • A committee can plan a sunset to end when goals are reached <p><u>#4 Multnomah/ Portland Sustainability Development Commission</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not well staffed • Waiting list of 300 to be on this committee | None |

| | |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Goals, not clear • Limited influence • Need clear direction from sponsors • Lesson of what we want/ or don't want • We may want to share sustainability information with this group • What about sustainability in Vancouver? We are missing an opportunity by not considering other counties <p><u>#5 Portland Utility Rate Board</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not operating as efficiently as when new • Strong personalities, need fresh blood • What is the criterion for service? <p><u>#6 Urban Forestry Board</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Due to problems/ gaps in Tree Code, citizens must be active • Board has some power in permit appeals • What is their authority, from IGA or Code? • None of these have requirement for public outreach. <p><u>#7 Parks Board</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Role unclear • Two term limitation <p><u>#8 Columbia Slough Watershed Council</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not an appointed body, doesn't report to a government agency • Don't take a position until a consensus • Not a decision making body – other than on restoration projects • Is a Stakeholder group, not an advocacy group • How does CSWC coordinate with other groups? Those groups sit on the council. | |
|---|--|

| 5. Group Discussion – All | Follow-Up |
|---|---|
| <p><u>Hector proposed these questions:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What are the objectives/criteria for ongoing committee? • Are we ready for an Ad Hoc group? • Have we had enough discussion to begin the pieces of a plan? <p><u>Comments</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With a smaller group, we lose the vision and input of the larger group. Preference to extend the exercise and keep the group together. • With the deferred items, there are still holes in the information needed before making conclusions. • The group will meet again on Wednesday, 1/14/09 | <p>Deferred items to be covered on 1/14/09.</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>to finalize as much as possible before the Wednesday, 1/28/09 meeting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requested that the comments on the board be inserted into a table, same format as used to evaluate these 8 committees. (list alternatives under each heading) • The group needs to set a periodic review of structure or charter process. • What is the big picture for OPIS? What will the relationship be with other groups? <p><u>Planning Advisory Group and Public Involvement Annual Process Evaluation</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is the form clear? • Item #12 can't be answered on scale of Fair to excellent. The question asks "What do you think PAG's major achievements have been, and what challenges lay ahead?" More space is required to answer this. • Please provide feedback on the form to Jay by 12/10/08. • PAG will complete this evaluation in January, and discuss the results in February. | <p>Committee comments to be inserted into a table following same format.</p> <p>Item #12 to be rewritten.</p> <p>Committee members to provide feedback to Jay by 12/10/08.</p> <p>Results to be presented in February.</p> |
|--|--|

| 7. Evaluation/Next Meeting Agenda | Follow-Up |
|---|--|
| <p><u>Comments on OPIS presentation and discussion</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Like the examples of the eight committees, and how we discussed as we reviewed each. Went very well. • Homework was a good idea • Liked the assignment • Would have liked to have seen the committee structures ahead of time to review on own. Meeting could have been less tutorial and more discussion • Like the room set up • Feel like what the group contributes is being used. Feel invested. | <p>Bronwyn to develop draft agenda for next meeting.</p> |

Hand Outs:

- 12/03/08 Public Involvement Subcommittee #8 Meeting Agenda
- IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation
- Draft – Local Examples of Ongoing Public Involvement Committees
- Planning Advisory Group and Public Involvement Annual Process Evaluation
- Worksheet on Ongoing Committee Structure
- 12/3/08 Ongoing Public Involvement Strategy PowerPoint