

**AIRPORT FUTURES
PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING #6
MEETING NOTES AND FOLLOW-UP**

Date and Time:	9/24/08, 6:30 - 9 PM
Location:	Northeast Coalition of Neighbors Office, 4815 NE 7 th Avenue, Martin Room, Portland, OR (Located in King Elementary School)
Attendees:	<i>Nancy Hendrickson Maryhelen Kincaid Patrick Metzger Alesia Reese Hector Roche Lawrence Russell Fred Stovel Denny Stoecklin Kelly Sweeney Vicki Thompson John Weigant Willy Williamson</i>
Staff:	Lise Glancy Bronwyn Buckle Shannon McArthur Chris White Chris Corich Jay Sugnet Scott King Sam Imperati
Unable to attend:	Jill Eiland Linda Robinson

1. Welcome, Introductions of New Members, and Updates – Hector	Follow-up
Hector asked for introductions and updates from subcommittee members since our last meeting.	

2. Open House Format Review – Bronwyn	Follow-up
Bronwyn provided a quick overview on the format for the open houses and discussion groups. She also detailed the outreach to key stakeholders group that we hope will make these open house discussion groups work.	The following Subcommittee members volunteered for the upcoming open houses:
Hector asked for volunteers from the subcommittee for these discussion groups.	<u>10/8 Vancouver</u> Patrick Metzger Maryhelen Kincaid Willy Williamson <u>10/13 Portland</u> Patrick Metzger Kelly Sweeney Denny Stoecklin Fred Stovel Hector Roche

	Lise and Bronwyn will provide training for these volunteers.
--	--

3. 10/22 PI SC Agenda Review – Hector	Follow-Up
<p>Hector reviewed the agenda for the next Public Involvement Subcommittee meeting scheduled for 10/21. Agenda items include: Follow-up from this meeting, research on other airport examples of ongoing committees, and success measures.</p> <p>Hector then closed the business portion of the subcommittee meeting.</p>	<p>Lise and Bronwyn to develop agenda and send to subcommittee one week prior to the next meeting.</p> <p>NOTE: The time and date of the next Public Involvement Subcommittee meeting has changed. New date: Wed., 10/29. New location: Multnomah County building.</p>

4. Welcome Land Use Subcommittee and Other PAG Members, Introductions - Hector	Follow-Up
<p>Hector opened the public involvement panel discussion portion of the meeting with introductions. He noted that the Public Involvement Subcommittee wanted the Land Use Subcommittee and other PAG members to participate in this discussion as they will have a long term interest in the design of the public engagement strategy to follow Airport Futures.</p>	

5./6. Public Involvement Consultant Panel and Group Discussion – Doug Zenn and Steve Johnson	Follow-Up
<p>Lise introduced the consultants and why the subcommittee had asked the two consultants to come talk with the group. The consultants have been asked to talk about keys to the success of ongoing public involvement, discuss challenges associated with ongoing committees, and share examples of ongoing committees that work.</p> <p>Steve Johnson and Doug Zenn shared their experiences with the group. Key points from their presentations and the discussion that followed included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • History and ethos of public involvement in Portland area • Public engagement has resulted in real change <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Desirability of options v. technical feasibility • Cutting edge public involvement intertwines technical and social considerations - not on separate tracks <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Airport Futures model • Diversity of perspective is critical and produces best decisions • Importance of managing energy and expectations of committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Be clear on who makes decisions – Communicate how input affects decisions • Clear charge for the body is key 	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What is objective? - What is unique about this group that another group cannot pick up? What makes it necessary? • Remember group is an organism and will need adjustment to respond to changing needs • Closing Recommendation: Develop draft scenarios and test them against committee objectives <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Use International Association Public Participation (IAP2) core values of public involvement as a guide - Borrow elements from other models <p>Other questions discussed included: can you have an independent body and Port participation, what might the role of this future committee be, who would sponsor this committee, who would be the final decision-makers on the body and issues before this body, how do you ensure members of such a committee are truly represent their constituency, how do you sustain healthy public involvement over the long run, how do you ensure public involvement will influence the decision, what does influence mean (how, when, who), where do we start, how do all things fit into this committee, how do you give this committee stature to ensure the group is valued, and how can this future group honor the work of the PAG going forward.</p>	
---	--

<p>7. Evaluation</p> <p>There was no time for a formal evaluation. Hector closed the meeting, noting that the discussion had exceeded his expectations.</p>	<p>Follow-Up</p> <p>Hector to ask for a group debrief at next Public Involvement Subcommittee.</p>
--	---

Hand Outs:

- Agenda
- Steve Johnson PowerPoint
- "Wisdom of Crowds" by James Surowiecki
- Some Operating Rules for Public Involvement Groups by Steve Johnson
- Doug Zenn PowerPoint
- Core Values of Public Participation from IAP2